

11-13-00

A

Attorney Docket No.: PALM-3302.US.P

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

I hereby certify that this transmittal of the below described documents is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope bearing Express Mail Postage and an Express Mail label, with the below serial number, addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C., 20231, on the below date of deposit.

Express Mail Label No.:	EL648669189US	Name of Person Making the Deposit:	ANTHONY CHOU
Date of Deposit:	11/10/00	Signature of the Person Making the Deposit:	<i>Anthony Chou</i>

Inventor(s): Kelly Robert McCaw

Title: OPTIMIZED DATABASE TECHNIQUE TO ENABLE FASTER DATA SYNCHRONIZATION

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmittal of a Patent Application

(Under 37 CFR §1.53)

Transmitted herewith is the above identified patent application, including:

☒ Specification, claims and abstract, totaling 40 pages.

☐ Formal drawings, totaling pages.

☒ Informal drawings, totaling 8 pages.

☒ Declaration and Power of Attorney.

☐ Information Disclosure statement.

☐ Form 1449

☒ Assignment(s)

☒ Assignment Recordation Form (duplicate)

☐ Preliminary Amendment

Other:

FEES DUE

The fees due for filing the specification pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and for recording of the Assignment, if any, are determined as follows:

CLAIMS					
	NO. OF CLAIMS		EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEES
Basic Application Fee					\$710.00
Total Claims	30	Minus 20=	10	X \$18 =	\$180.00
Independent Claims	3	Minus 3=	0	X \$80=	\$0.00
If multiple dependent claims are presented, add \$260.00					\$0.00
Add Assignment Recording Fee of \$40.00 If Assignment document is enclosed					\$40.00
TOTAL APPLICATION FEE DUE					\$930.00

PAYMENT OF FEES

The full fee due in connection with this communication is provided as follows:

1. Not enclosed
☐ No filing fee is to be paid at this time.
2. Enclosed
☒ Filing fee
☒ Recording assignment
☐ Petition fee for filing by other than all the inventors or person on behalf of the inventor where inventor refused to sign or cannot be reached
☐ For processing an application with specification in a non-English language
☐ Processing and retention fee
☐ Fee for international-type search report
☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.
☒ A check in the amount of \$930.00
☐ Charge any fees required or credit any overpayments associated with this filing to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.

This application is filed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 in the name of the above-identified Inventor(s).


Please direct all correspondence concerning the above-identified application to the following address:

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Two North Market Street, Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060

- ☒ This transmittal ends with this page.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11/10/2000

By: 
Anthony C. Murabito
Reg. No. 35,295

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION FOR

OPTIMIZED DATABASE TECHNIQUE
TO ENABLE FASTER DATA SYNCHRONIZATION

Inventor:

Kelly Robert McCaw

Prepared by:

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

TWO NORTH MARKET STREET

THIRD FLOOR

SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113

(408) 938-9060

OPTIMIZED DATABASE TECHNIQUE
TO ENABLE FASTER DATA SYNCHRONIZATION

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to database design. More specifically, the present invention pertains to a method and system that enables faster data
10 synchronization between different databases.

RELATED ART

Computer systems and other electronic devices have become integral tools that are used extensively to perform a wide variety of useful operations in
15 modern society. Applications of computer systems can be found in virtually all fields and disciplines, including but not limited to business, industry, scientific research, education and entertainment. For instance, computer systems are used to analyze financial data, to control industrial machinery, to model chemical molecules, to deliver classroom presentations and to generate special
20 effects for movies. Moreover, computer systems along with other electronic devices are finding new applications as a result of advances in hardware technology and rapid development in software technology. The growing affordability of computer systems and electronic devices together with the abundance of useful new applications have fueled strong demand for such
25 systems and devices.

Included within this broad category of computers and electronic devices is the personal digital assistant (commonly referred to as a PDA). Specifically, as the components required to build a computer system have been greatly reduced in size, new categories of computer systems have emerged. One of these new categories of computer systems is the PDA. A PDA is a portable computer system which is small enough to be held conveniently and comfortably in the hand of its user. In particular, a popular size for the typical PDA approximates the size of a palm.

10 The PDA is usually a battery-powered device that is typically used as an electronic organizer having the capability to store and display a wide range of information which can include numerous addresses and telephone numbers of business and personal acquaintances, financial information, daily appointments, along with various other personal information. As such, the PDA is able to consolidate a wide variety of information and make the information easily accessible by its user. Therefore, PDAs are very useful and have gained wide popularity.

PDAs usually has a built-in database (e.g., as part of the system software) for storing the wide variety of information as described above. Moreover, PDAs typically have the capability to communicate information with another system, such as to a desktop computer system via a cradle. In many cases, the desktop computer system also store the same kind of information as the PDA with which it communicates in its own database. In between instances of such data communications, the information on either the PDA's or the desktop computer system's database may have been changed (e.g., updates, additions, deletions) by the user. It is also possible that information in both

databases have been modified. For example, a user may have added a new entry into the address book of the PDA, and that particular addition has not yet been made to the desktop system's database. Under such circumstances, when the PDA and the desktop system engage in a communication session,

5 data synchronization between the two databases needs to be performed so that the correct information is propagated to both databases.

Currently, some PDAs employ two different modes of synchronization, namely: a slow sync mode and a fast sync mode. According to this

10 implementation, a fast sync is applicable only in a limited number of situations, such as during the first synchronization after a database has been modified. In a subsequent synchronization with a different database, such as a database on a different desktop system, a fast sync is not appropriate and a slow sync is required.

15

As their names indicate, a slow sync requires more time to complete than a fast sync. This is because while a fast sync simply works on data records that are marked as modified, a slow sync performs a record-by-record comparison for the entire database. Indeed, a slow sync takes up an incrementally longer

20 time period to complete as the size of the database (e.g., measured by the number of data records) that needs to be synchronized increases. As such, it can be an inconvenience to users, especially those who frequently need to synchronize their hand-held device with multiple desktop systems, which typically include a home computer and an office computer. As hand-held

25 computer technology advances and its applications multiply, an increasing number of users utilize hand-held computers and PDAs to store information which eventually needs to be stored in a desktop system, or as a conduit to

propagate information between different systems or devices. Therefore, it would be advantageous to come up with a technique that can speed up the rate of data synchronization in situations where a slow sync would have been required under the existing approach.

5

Another shortcoming of the two-mode data synchronization approach, where fast sync is used in some cases and slow sync in others, is that it necessitates extra programming for developers of applications for PDAs. In particular, since different sync modes are needed under different scenarios, 10 developers need to incorporate program code in PDA applications for determining which sync mode to use in a given situation. Such a requirement is undesirable because the extra program code could prolong the development cycle and introduce added complexity to the applications. Thus, it would be beneficial to provide an approach for data synchronization which is efficient in 15 terms of speed and which does not employ multiple modes of synchronization depending on the particular situation.

CONFIDENTIAL

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It would be advantageous to provide a method and system for data synchronization that does not require a long time period to complete.

Furthermore, it would also be advantageous for such method and system not to
5 introduce extra complexity into development efforts such that application development cycles would not be adversely affected.

Accordingly, the present invention provides a method and system that enables faster data synchronization between different databases. More
10 particularly, embodiments of the present invention eliminate the need to perform record-by-record comparison of all data records in the databases being synchronized. The lengthy synchronization process inherent in the prior art slow sync approach and the inconvenience associated therewith is thus advantageously eliminated. Moreover, since embodiments of the present
15 invention are capable of handling data synchronization irrespective of whether an earlier synchronization has been performed, the need for a dual-mode (e.g., fast sync and slow sync) synchronization approach is beneficially eliminated. As such, the complexity of application development is greatly reduced, thereby simplifying the job for application developers. Thus, the present invention
20 provides a superior approach to data synchronization over the prior art. These and other advantages of the present invention not specifically mentioned above will become clear within discussions of the present invention presented herein.

More specifically, in one embodiment of the present invention, a method
25 for synchronizing data records between databases is provided. Initially, a first database is designated as a source database and a second database as a target database. The modification flag of a first data record in the source

database is examined. If the first modification flag is set, the first data record is propagated to the target database. On the other hand, if the first modification flag is not set, a first modification count of the first data record is compared with a second modification count of a corresponding data record in the target

5 database. In this embodiment, each of the modification counts is a value indicating how many times the respective data record has been modified. If it is determined that the first modification count has a higher value than the second modification count, the corresponding data record is updated according to the first data record. Importantly, the method of this embodiment can be carried out

10 as described without comparing the raw data of the data records. As such, this embodiment of the present invention advantageously eliminates the record-by-record comparison that is inherent in the prior art synchronization process and the inconvenience associated therewith and provides an efficient data synchronization technique that can be beneficially utilized in numerous

15 applications.

In a specific embodiment, the present invention includes the above and wherein the first database and the second database reside in different host systems. In a currently preferred embodiment, the first database resides in a

20 personal digital assistant (PDA). In one embodiment, the PDA runs on the PalmOS. Furthermore, in another embodiment, the second database resides in a computer system to which a PDA can be coupled via a cradle device.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and form a part of this specification, illustrate embodiments of the invention and, together with
5 the description, serve to explain the principles of the invention:

Figure 1 is a system illustration of an exemplary personal digital assistant computer system connected to other computer systems and the Internet via a cradle device.

10

Figure 2A is a top side perspective view of an exemplary personal digital assistant computer system.

15

Figure 2B is a bottom side perspective view of the personal digital assistant computer system of Figure 2A.

Figure 3 is an exploded view of the components of the exemplary personal digital assistant computer system of Figure 2A.

20

Figure 4 is a perspective view of the cradle device for connecting the personal digital assistant computer system to other systems via a communication interface.

25

Figure 5 is a logical block diagram of circuitry located within the exemplary personal digital assistant computer system of Figure 2A.

5 Figure 7 illustrates an exemplary database layout in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

10

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the following detailed description of the present invention, an optimized database technique that enables efficient data synchronization, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. However, it will be recognized by one skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details or with equivalents thereof. In other instances, well known methods, procedures, components, and circuits have not been described in detail as not to unnecessarily obscure aspects of the present invention.

NOTATION AND NOMENCLATURE

Some portions of the detailed descriptions which follow are presented in terms of procedures, steps, logic blocks, processing, and other symbolic representations of operations on data bits within a computer memory. These descriptions and representations are the means used by those skilled in the data processing arts to most effectively convey the substance of their work to others skilled in the art. A procedure, computer executed step, logic block, process, etc., is here, and generally, conceived to be a self-consistent sequence of steps or instructions leading to a desired result. The steps are those requiring physical manipulations of physical quantities. Usually, though not necessarily, these quantities take the form of electrical or magnetic signals capable of being stored, transferred, combined, compared, and otherwise manipulated in a computer system. It has proven convenient at times, principally for reasons of common usage, to refer to these signals as bits, values, elements, symbols, characters, terms, numbers, or the like.

It should be borne in mind, however, that all of these and similar terms are to be associated with the appropriate physical quantities and are merely convenient labels applied to these quantities. Unless specifically stated
5 otherwise as apparent from the following discussions, it is appreciated that throughout the present invention, discussions utilizing terms such as “designating”, “examining”, “propagating”, “comparing”, “updating”, “incrementing” or the like, refer to the action and processes of a computer system (e.g., Figure 5), or similar electronic computing device, that manipulates
10 and transforms data represented as physical (electronic) quantities within the computer system’s registers and memories into other data similarly represented as physical quantities within the computer system memories or registers or other such information storage, transmission or display devices.

15 Aspects of the present invention, described below, are discussed in terms of steps executed on a computer system. These steps (e.g., process 800) are implemented as program code stored in computer readable memory units of a computer system and are executed by the processor of the computer system. Although a variety of different computer systems can be used with the present
20 invention, an exemplary personal digital assistant computer system is shown in Figure 5 further below.

AN ILLUSTRATIVE COMPUTER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENT WITHIN WHICH
EMBODIMENTS OF THE PRESENT INVENTION CAN BE PRACTICED

Referring now to Figure 1, a system 50 that can be used in conjunction with the present invention is shown. It is appreciated that the method and
5 system for synchronizing data records between databases of the present invention can be used in conjunction with any computer system and that system 50 is illustrative rather than limiting. It is further appreciated that the portable computer system 100 described below is only exemplary. System 50 comprises a host computer system 56 which can either be a desktop unit as
10 shown, or, alternatively, can be a laptop computer system 58. Optionally, one or more host computer systems can be used within system 50. Host computer systems 58 and 56 are shown connected to a communication bus 54, which in one embodiment can be a serial communication bus, but could be of any of a number of well known designs, e.g., a parallel bus, Ethernet, Local Area
15 Network (LAN), etc. Optionally, bus 54 can provide communication with the Internet 52 using a number of well known protocols.

Importantly, bus 54 is also coupled to a cradle 60 for receiving and initiating communication with a personal digital assistant computer system 100.
20 Cradle 60 provides an electrical and mechanical communication interface between bus 54 (and anything coupled to bus 54) and computer system 100 for two way communications. Computer system 100 also contains a wireless infrared communication mechanism 64 for sending and receiving information from other devices. Within the scope of the present invention, data records
25 stored in a database residing in computer system 100 can be synchronized, in a

highly efficient manner, with data records stored in a different database, such as one residing in desktop computer system 56 or laptop computer system 58. A more detailed description of the structure of an illustrative implementation of computer system 100 and its operation in conjunction with a method for

5 synchronizing data records between databases in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention is presented further below with reference to Figures 6 and 7.

With reference to Figure 2A, a perspective illustration of the top face 100a

10 of exemplary personal digital assistant computer system 100 is shown. Top face 110a contains a display screen 105 surrounded by a bezel or cover. A removable stylus 80 is also shown. Display screen 105 is a touch screen capable of registering contact between the screen and the tip of stylus 80. Stylus 80 can be fabricated of any material which can make contact with screen

15 105. Top face 100a also contains one or more dedicated and/or programmable buttons 75 for selecting information and causing computer system 100 to implement functions. An on/off button 95 is shown as well.

Referring still to Figure 2A, a handwriting recognition pad or "digitizer"

20 containing regions 106a and 106b is also shown. Specifically, region 106a is for the drawing of alpha characters therein for automatic recognition and region 106b is for the drawing of numeric characters therein for automatic recognition. Stylus 80 is used for stroking a character within one of the regions 106a and 106b. The stroke information is then fed to an internal processor for automatic

character recognition. Once characters are recognized, they are typically displayed on screen 105 for verification and/or modification.

Figure 2B illustrates the bottom side 100b of one embodiment of
5 personal digital assistant computer system 100. An optional extendible
antenna 85 is shown, and a battery storage compartment door 90 is shown as
well. A communication interface 108 is also shown. In one embodiment of the
present invention, the serial communication interface 108 comprises a serial
communication port, but it could also alternatively be of any of a number of well
10 known communication standards and protocols, e.g., parallel, small computer
system interface (SCSI), Ethernet, Firewire (IEEE 1394), etc.

With reference now to Figure 3, an exploded view of the exemplary
personal digital assistant computer system 100 is shown. System 100 contains
15 a front cover 210 having an outline of region 106 and holes 75a for receiving
buttons 75b. A flat panel display 105 (both liquid crystal display and touch
screen) fits into front cover 210. Any of a number of display technologies can be
used, e.g., liquid crystal display (LCD), field emission device (FED), plasma,
etc., for the flat panel display 105. A battery 215 provides electrical power. A
20 contrast adjustment (potentiometer) 220 is also shown. On/off button 95 is
shown along with an infrared emitter and detector device 64. A flex circuit 230
is shown along with a PC board 225 containing electronics and logic (e.g.,
memory, communication bus, processor, etc.) for implementing computer
system functionality. The digitizer pad is also included in PC board 225. A

midframe 235 is shown along with stylus 80. Position adjustable antenna 85 is also shown.

Additionally, a radio receiver/transmitter device 240 is also shown
5 between the midframe and the rear cover 245 of Figure 3. Radio
receiver/transmitter device 240 is coupled to antenna 85 and also coupled to
communicate with PC board 225. In one implementation, the Mobitex wireless
communication system is used to provide two way communication between
system 100 and other networked computers and/or the Internet via a proxy
10 server.

Figure 4 is a perspective illustration of one embodiment of the cradle 60
for receiving the personal digital assistant computer system 100. Cradle 60
contains a mechanical and electrical interface 260 for interfacing with serial
15 connection 108 (Figure 2B) of computer system 100 when system 100 is slid
into the cradle 60 in an upright position. Once inserted, button 270 can be
pressed to initiate two way communication between system 100 and other
computer systems coupled to serial communication bus 54.

20 Referring now to Figure 5, a block diagram of exemplary personal digital
assistant computer system 100 is shown. Some of the modules shown in
Figure 5 can be implemented on PC board 225. Computer system 100 includes
an address/data bus 99 for communicating information, a central processor 101
coupled with bus 99 for processing information and instructions, a volatile
25 memory unit 102 (e.g., random access memory, static RAM, dynamic RAM, etc.)

coupled with bus 99 for storing information and instructions for central processor 101 and a non-volatile memory unit 103 (e.g., read only memory, programmable ROM, flash memory, EPROM, EEPROM, etc.) coupled with bus 99 for storing static information and instructions for processor 101. As

5 described above, computer system 100 also contains a display device 105 coupled to bus 99 for displaying information to the computer user. PC board 225 can contain processor 101, bus 99, volatile memory unit 102, and non-volatile memory unit 103. As described further below, portions of memory units 102 and 103 can be removed from computer system 100. Moreover, computer

10 system 100 can also include an optional data storage device 104 (e.g., memory stick) for storing information and instructions. In one embodiment, optional data storage device 104 is removable from computer system 100.

In a currently preferred embodiment, one or more database(s) reside in a

15 memory unit of computer system 100, such as memory units 102 and 103. In an alternative embodiment, such database(s) reside in optional data storage device 104. In accordance with embodiments of the present invention, data records stored in any of these databases can be efficiently synchronized with those stored in another database, which can reside in a different computer

20 system, as described further below with reference to Figures 6 and 7.

Also included in computer system 100 of Figure 5 is an optional alphanumeric input device 106 which, in one implementation, is a handwriting recognition pad ("digitizer") having regions 106a and 106b (Figure 2A), for

25 instance. Device 106 can communicate information and command selections to

5

20

Referring next to Figure 6, a block diagram is shown of a system 600 practicing data synchronization in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. System 600 includes a hand-held computer 100 and another computer system 140 or an interface communicatively coupled thereto.

Example embodiments of the hand-held computer 100 include various models of the Palm hand-held organizers, as well as numerous other hand-held computers running a version of the Palm operating system, or PalmOS.

Additional embodiments include other hand-held computers, such as those

5 running a version of Microsoft's Windows CE operating system and those running a version of the EPOC operating system, as well as set-top boxes.

Similarly, examples of computer system 140 include the same examples mentioned previously for computer 100, along with other hardware modules or interfaces not specifically mentioned herein.

10

As illustrated in Figure 6, hand-held computer 100 includes a memory 110 (e.g., volatile memory unit 102, non-volatile memory unit 103 of Figure 5) and processor 101. Memory 101 can store various programs, or sequences of instructions for execution by processor 101, as well as other information and

15 data. Example programs shown include a database 112 and personal information management (PIM) applications 114 and 116, such as an address book, a daily organizer and electronic notepads, to name a few. Other programs 118 can include programs for carrying out other internal functions of hand-held computer 100, or for carrying out functions relating to interfacing with

20 computer system 140. Some of the programs may be included in device drivers, the operating system, and/or applications.

In a currently preferred embodiment, hand-held computer 100 further includes infrared interface 64 and a connector 135, and processor 101 includes

25 a communications port 125. In this embodiment, communication interface 108

0970605-1100

(Figure 1) of computer 100 comprises infrared interface 64, communications port 125, and connector 135. Hand-held computer 100 communicates with computer system 140 via one or more communications ports 125. In one embodiment, processor 101 is a DragonBall processor manufactured by

5 Motorola, Inc. For example, the Palm IIIx and Palm V hand-held computers use a DragonBall processor model known as the DragonBall EZ MC68EZ238 Integrated Microprocessor. Other embodiments use different microprocessors. An embodiment implemented using the DragonBall EZ processor has a communications port 125 that includes one serial port, while other

10 embodiments of the present invention can include multiple serial ports, one or more parallel port(s), or other configurations.

In accordance with embodiments of the present invention, hand-held computer 100 communicates with computer system/communication interface

15 140 via a wired or wireless connection. An example of a wireless connection is a communication link between two hand-held computers 100 and 142 carried out in infrared through infrared interface 64 coupled to communications port 125. In infrared communication, an infrared transceiver included in infrared interface 64 of hand-held computer 100 communicates with the infrared

20 transceiver of another hand-held computer 142. In one embodiment, the transceivers follow an IrDA (Infrared Data Association) protocol. In other embodiments, other protocols are used.

An example of a wired connection is a communication link between

25 hand-held computer 100 and a keyboard 150 through connector 135. Another

example of a wired connection is a communication link between hand-held computer 100 and cradle 60, through connector 135 coupled to communications port 125. This is a configuration that is often used to synchronize data between hand-held computer 100 and another computer 146 (e.g., desktop computer 56 or laptop computer 58 of Figure 1). In particular, in a currently preferred embodiment, the data synchronization method of the present invention is practiced with hand-held computer 100 being coupled to computer 146 via cradle 60, where one of the databases to be synchronized resides in hand-held computer 100 and the other resides in computer 146.

10

Within the scope of the present invention, in addition to the devices mentioned above, computer system/communication interface 140 can also be a modem 148, devices for cellular or personal communication services, other wireless devices 152 including radio, infrared, and acoustic communication peripherals, as well as Bluetooth devices. Information on the Bluetooth communication specification is not described herein but is available on the Internet at the address <http://www.bluetooth.com>.

15

EXEMPLARY DATABASE LAYOUT

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ONE EMBODIMENT OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

20

Referring next to Figure 7, an exemplary database layout in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention is illustrated in the form of a database table 700. In one embodiment, database table 700 is stored in database 112 which resides in memory 110 of hand-held computer 100 as described with reference to Figure 6 above. In Figure 7, four columns are

25

shown in table 700. On the other hand, the number of rows is determined by the number of data records stored in table 700. As illustrated, column 710 is for storing data record IDs, column 720 for modification flags, column 730 for modification counts, and column 740 for raw data. Given this table layout, a cell at the intersection of a column and a row stores a particular attribute of a certain data record. For example, cell 711 is for storing the data record ID of a particular data record, while cell 722 is for storing the modification flag of another data record. In another embodiment, raw data of a data record is classified into different categories or fields which are then stored in separate columns. For instance, in a database for an address book application, the raw data of a data record can include a contact name, one or more phone numbers, one or more addresses, and electronic mail addresses, among others. Each piece of information in this case can logically be stored in a separate column within the scope of the present invention.

It is appreciated that table 700 can include additional columns which are not shown in Figure 7. Such additional columns may be necessary for storing other data or information not specifically described herein and not required in order to practice the present invention. The number of such additional columns, if any, and their format (e.g., data types) is dependent upon the particular design of the database in question. Thus, although not expressly shown in Figure 7, such alternative embodiments and variations are within the scope and spirit of the present invention.

In a currently preferred embodiment, each data record in a database has a data record ID that uniquely identifies the particular data record from among others in the database. Column 710 as described above is used to store these data record IDs. In this embodiment, each data record also has an associated
5 modification flag, which is stored in column 720. Within the present invention, this flag can take on one of several possible value at any given time, which value serves to indicate the modification status of the data record. In one embodiment wherein the database runs on the PalmOS, possible values for the modification flag include "new," "modified," "deleted" and "archived," among
10 others. In this embodiment, "new" indicates that since the last data synchronization has taken place, the data record has been newly created or added to the database. "Modified" simply means that the data record has since been modified or updated. "Deleted" means that the data record has been deleted and should no longer be listed as a current record. "Archived" indicates
15 that the data record is in archive. The modification flag is set accordingly upon the occurrence of the respective events. It is appreciated that such events (e.g., additions, modifications, deletions, archiving) can be performed via manual entry by a user of the computer system or programmatically by application programs running on the computer system.

20

For example, in one embodiment, when a new data record is being added, its modification flag would be set to "new." Likewise, when an existing data record is being updated, its modification flag would be set to "modified." Thus, the record is marked to indicate that it has been changed since the last
25 synchronization. When a synchronization is performed between this database

and another database, the modification flag for each of the data records is checked. Only those records whose flags are set to "modified" or "new" are processed in a first pass. Moreover, once a record has thus been processed in this first pass, its modification flag is cleared. Thus, in a subsequent

5 synchronization with a different database (e.g., a different desktop system), the modification flag alone can no longer be relied upon as a true indication as to whether the particular data record needs to be processed with respect to this other database.

10 Thus, in a currently preferred embodiment, each data record further includes an associated modification count, which is stored in column 730. Within the present invention, this modification count is a value that indicates how many times a data record has been modified. Thus, the modification count is another indicator of the modification status of the data record. Importantly, in
15 a currently preferred embodiment, the modification count of a data record is an integer value that gets incremented each and every time that data record is modified. Moreover, unlike the modification flag described above, the modification count for a data record is not reset or otherwise cleared when that data record is being processed in a synchronization. Instead, it keeps a running
20 total of the number of times that the specific data record has been modified since its creation. As such, the modification count of a data record is a modification history log that is used by and yet remains unaffected by the synchronization process.

OPERATION OF THE DATA SYNCHRONIZATION METHOD
IN ACCORDANCE WITH ONE EMBODIMENT OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

Building upon the foregoing description, an example would best serve to illustrate the operation of the present invention. Suppose a user has updated
5 an entry in the address book database 112 of hand-held computer 100, such as data record 747 of Figure 7, and that particular update has not been made to the database of desktop system 146. When hand-held computer 100 and desktop system 146 are communicatively coupled (e.g., via cradle 60), data synchronization between the two databases can be performed so that both
10 databases would contain the most up-to-date information. In a currently preferred embodiment practiced upon a Palm compatible device (e.g., a device running on the Palm OS), a HotSync interrupt can be used to initiate the data synchronization process of the present invention.

15 In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, when hand-held computer 100 having modified data record 747 (e.g., the updated address book entry) and desktop computer system 146 undergo data synchronization, the modification flags for all data records would initially be examined in a first phase of the synchronization process. At this point, the
20 modification flag for modified record 747 in database 112 would read "modified" (cell 742) and as such the raw data (cell 744) of data record 747 would be propagated (e.g., copied over) to the database in desktop system 146. Moreover, the modification flag for data record 747 in database 112 would be cleared to indicate that data record 747 has been processed in this first phase.

25

In a currently preferred embodiment, the method of the present invention first checks the modification flags for all data records in the database in hand-held computer 100, and then checks the modification flags for all data records in the database in desktop computer 146. The method of this embodiment then
5 makes the necessary updates to those data record that have been modified, added or deleted based on the status of their modification flags. Thus, in this particular example where one record has been modified in hand-held computer 100, upon completion of the above described steps, the corresponding data record in the database in desktop system 146 would have the same up-to-date
10 raw data as its counterpart in database 112 (data record 747) in hand-held computer 100, which is the data record that has been modified. In other words, the two databases would be properly synchronized after the first phase as described thus far.

Continuing with the above example, when hand-held computer 100 and
15 a second desktop system (not shown in Figure 6) undergo synchronization afterwards, the modification flag for data record 747 in database 112 is no longer marked as "modified" upon examination, assuming that data record 747 has not been modified again in the meantime. This is because the flag has
20 been cleared during the previous synchronization with system 146 that has occurred prior in time. As such, data record 747 would not be identified as requiring synchronization in the first phase of the synchronization process. Thus, in accordance with the present invention, the modification count of data record 747 comes into play.

25
More specifically, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, when hand-held computer 100 having modified data record 747 and

5

20

data record 747. In a currently preferred embodiment, the modification count comparison is performed for all data records in the databases, and the necessary updates are made accordingly. Thus, in the current example where one record has been modified in hand-held computer 100, upon completion of the above described steps, the corresponding data record in the other database would have the same up-to-date raw data as its counterpart in database 112 (data record 747) in hand-held computer 100, and the modification count would have been incremented accordingly as well.

Referring next to Figure 8, a flow diagram 800 illustrating steps for synchronizing data records between databases in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention is shown. In step 810, a first database is designated as a source database and a second database as a target database.

In one embodiment, the first database and the second database reside in different host systems. In a currently preferred embodiment, the first database resides in a personal digital assistant (PDA). In one embodiment, the PDA runs on the PalmOS. Furthermore, in another embodiment, the second database resides in a computer system to which a PDA can be coupled via a cradle device.

Referring still to Figure 8, in step 820, the modification flag of a first data record in the source database is examined.

With reference still to Figure 8, in step 825, it is determined whether the modification flag of the first data record is set. If the determination is affirmative, then in step 830, the first data record is propagated to the target database.

5 In one embodiment, propagating the first data record to the target database includes the following. If the first modification flag is set to indicate that the first data record has been modified in the source database and the corresponding data record exists in the target database, then the corresponding data record is updated according to the first data record in the source database
10 and the first modification flag is cleared. If the first modification flag is set to indicate that the first data record is new in the source database and no corresponding data record exists in the target database, then a new data record is created in the target database according to the first data record in the source database and the first modification flag is cleared as well. If the first modification
15 flag is set to indicate that the first data record has been deleted from the source database and the corresponding data record exists and is not already marked as deleted in the target database, then the corresponding data record is marked as deleted in the target database.

20 Referring still to Figure 8, if it is determined in step 825 that the modification flag of the first data record is not set, then in step 840, a first modification count of the first data record is compared with a second modification count of a corresponding data record in the target database. In a currently preferred embodiment, each of the modification counts has a value
25 indicating how many times its corresponding data record has been modified.

Referring again to Figure 8, in step 845, it is determined whether the first modification count has a higher value than the second modification count. If the first modification count has a higher value, then in step 850, the corresponding data record is updated according to the first data record. In accordance with the present invention, steps 810 through 850 can be completed without comparing the raw data of the first data record and the corresponding data record.

With reference again to Figure 8, in step 860, the second modification count is incremented to the higher value of the first modification count. As such, the present embodiment maintains a running total of the number of times that a specific data record has been modified since its creation using the modification count, which serves as a modification history log that is used by and yet remains unaffected by the synchronization process of the present invention. In one embodiment, steps 810 through 860 are repeated until all of the data records in the source database have been processed. In another embodiment, the source and target databases are reversed and steps 810 through 860 are repeated until all of the data records in the source database have been processed.

Significantly, in accordance with the present embodiment, record-by-record comparison of all data records in the databases being synchronized is not necessary. The lengthy synchronization process inherent in the prior art slow sync and the inconvenience associated therewith is thus advantageously eliminated. Moreover, since embodiments of the present invention are capable of handling data synchronization under all scenarios, the need for a dual-mode

(e.g., fast sync and slow sync) synchronization approach is beneficially eliminated. As such, the complexity of application development is greatly reduced, thereby simplifying the job for application developers. Thus, the present invention provides a superior approach to data synchronization over
5 the prior art.

It is appreciated that during the synchronization process of the present invention, when the modification flags and/or modification counts of data records are examined and compared, various combinations regarding the
10 status of the modification flags and modification counts could be encountered. The above recited example is one of many possible scenarios and is intended as an illustration rather than a limitation. It would be clear to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing and synchronization art, having thoroughly read the description of the various embodiments of the present invention
15 presented herein, that the data synchronization technique described and variations thereof are applicable to such different scenarios.

In addition, certain of these scenarios may call for extra processing step(s) depending on the specific implementation of the database and/or
20 underlying operating system. For instance, in an embodiment where the underlying operating system is the PalmOS, a so-called "double modify" scenario arises when a data record in one database and its counterpart in the other database both have the "modified" status for their modification flags. In such a case, it is a design choice or implementation decision as to how the data
25 should be reconciled. Irrespective of the specific manner in which such special scenarios are handled, embodiments described herein, with appropriate adaptations, are capable of identifying such situations and performing the

desired actions(s) (e.g., creating a log, generating an archive, handing the task over to another program for exception handling, etc.) without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention.

5 Moreover, although embodiments of the present invention have been described as being practiced upon a hand-held computer such as a PDA, it should be appreciated that the present invention is not restricted thereto but rather can be practiced with numerous applications which require data to be synchronized among different databases and/or other data storage and archival
10 means. Such alternative applications, though not specifically described in detail herein, are within the scope and spirit of the present invention.

 The preferred embodiment of the present invention, a method and system that enables faster data synchronization between different databases, is
15 thus described. While the present invention has been described in particular embodiments, it should be appreciated that the present invention should not be construed as limited by such embodiments, but rather construed according to the below claims.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for synchronizing data records between databases
- 5 comprising the steps of:
 - a) designating a first database as a source database and a second database as a target database;
 - b) examining a first modification flag of a first data record in said source database;
 - 10 c) provided that said first modification flag is set, propagating said first data record to said target database;
 - d) provided that said first modification flag is not set, comparing a first modification count of said first data record with a second modification count of a corresponding data record in said target database, said first and second
 - 15 modification counts each being a value indicating how many times said first data record and said corresponding data record has been modified respectively; and
 - e) provided that said first modification count has a higher value than said second modification count, updating said corresponding data record
 - 20 according to said first data record, wherein said steps a) through e) can be completed without comparing raw data of said first data record and said corresponding data record.
2. The method as recited in Claim 1 further comprising the step f) of
- 25 incrementing said second modification count to said higher value of said first modification count.

5 4. The method as recited in Claim 3 further comprising the steps of:

 g) redesignating said second database as said source database and
said first database as said target database; and

 h) performing said steps a) through f) repeatedly until all of said data
records in said source database have been processed.

updating said corresponding data record in said target database according to said first data record in said source database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record has been modified in said source database and that said corresponding data record exists in said target database; and

6. The method as recited in Claim 1 wherein said step c) comprises the steps of:

creating a new data record in said target database according to said first data record in said source database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record is new in said source database and that said corresponding data record does not exist in said target database; and

clearing said first modification flag.

7. The method as recited in Claim 1 wherein said step c) comprises the step of marking said corresponding data record as deleted in said target database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record has been deleted from said source database and that said
5 corresponding data record exists and is not already marked as deleted in said target database.

8. The method as recited in Claim 1 wherein said first database and said second database reside in different host systems.
10

9. The method as recited in Claim 1 wherein said first database resides in a personal digital assistant (PDA).

10. The method as recited in Claim 1 wherein said second database
15 resides in a computer system to which a personal digital assistant (PDA) can be coupled via a cradle device.

11. A computer system comprising a processor coupled to a bus and a memory unit coupled to said bus, said memory unit having stored therein
20 instructions that when executed implement a method for synchronizing data records between databases, said method comprising the steps of:

a) designating a first database as a source database and a second database as a target database, said first database residing in said memory unit of said computer system;

25 b) examining a first modification flag of a first data record in said source database;

c) provided that said first modification flag is set, propagating said first data record to said target database;

d) provided that said first modification flag is not set, comparing a first modification count of said first data record with a second modification count of a corresponding data record in said target database, said first and second modification counts each being a value indicating how many times said first data record and said corresponding data record has been modified respectively; and

e) provided that said first modification count has a higher value than said second modification count, updating said corresponding data record according to said first data record, wherein said steps a) through e) can be completed without comparing raw data of said first data record and said corresponding data record.

12. The computer system as recited in Claim 11 wherein said method further comprises the step f) of incrementing said second modification count to said higher value of said first modification count.

13. The computer system as recited in Claim 12 wherein said steps a) through f) of said method are repeated until all of said data records in said source database have been processed.

14. The computer system as recited in Claim 13 wherein said method further comprises the steps of:

g) redesignating said second database as said source database and said first database as said target database; and

15. The computer system as recited in Claim 11 wherein said step c)
5 of said method comprises the steps of:

16. The computer system as recited in Claim 11 wherein said step c) of said method comprises the steps of:

15 creating a new data record in said target database according to said first data record in said source database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record is new in said source database and that said corresponding data record does not exist in said target database; and

clearing said first modification flag.

17. The computer system as recited in Claim 11 wherein said step c) of said method comprises the step of marking said corresponding data record as deleted in said target database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record has been deleted from said source database and that said corresponding data record exists and is not already marked as deleted in said target database.

18. The computer system as recited in Claim 11 wherein said second database does not reside in said computer system.

19. The computer system as recited in Claim 11 wherein said
5 computer system is a personal digital assistant (PDA).

20. The computer system as recited in Claim 11 wherein said
computer system is coupled to another computer system in which said second
database resides.

10

21. A computer readable medium having embodied therein computer
readable code for causing a computer system to implement a method for
synchronizing data records between databases, said method comprising the
steps of:

15 a) designating a first database as a source database and a second
database as a target database;

b) examining a first modification flag of a first data record in said
source database;

20 c) provided that said first modification flag is set, propagating said
first data record to said target database;

d) provided that said first modification flag is not set, comparing a first
modification count of said first data record with a second modification count of a
corresponding data record in said target database, said first and second
modification counts each being a value indicating how many times said first
25 data record and said corresponding data record has been modified
respectively; and

e) provided that said first modification count has a higher value than said second modification count, updating said corresponding data record according to said first data record, wherein said steps a) through e) can be completed without comparing raw data of said first data record and said
5 corresponding data record.

22. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 21 wherein said method further comprises the step f) of incrementing said second modification count to said higher value of said first modification count.

10

23. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 22 wherein said steps a) through f) of said method are repeated until all of said data records in said source database have been processed.

24. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 23 wherein said method further comprises the steps of:

g) redesignating said second database as said source database and said first database as said target database; and

h) performing said steps a) through f) repeatedly until all of said data
20 records in said source database have been processed.

25. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 21 wherein said step c) of said method comprises the steps of:

updating said corresponding data record in said target database
25 according to said first data record in said source database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record has been

modified in said source database and that said corresponding data record exists in said target database; and
clearing said first modification flag.

5 26. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 21 wherein said step c) of said method comprises the steps of:

 creating a new data record in said target database according to said first data record in said source database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record is new in said source database and that
10 said corresponding data record does not exist in said target database; and
 clearing said first modification flag.

 27. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 21 wherein said step c) of said method comprises the step of marking said corresponding
15 data record as deleted in said target database, provided that said first modification flag is set to indicate that said first data record has been deleted from said source database and that said corresponding data record exists and is not already marked as deleted in said target database.

20 28. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 21 wherein said first database and said second database reside in different host systems.

 29. The computer readable medium as recited in Claim 21 wherein said first database resides in a personal digital assistant (PDA).

25

PALM-3302

OPTIMIZED DATABASE TECHNIQUE
TO ENABLE FASTER DATA SYNCHRONIZATION

ABSTRACT OF THE INVENTION

5 Method and system that enables faster data synchronization between
different databases. In one embodiment, a method for synchronizing data
records between databases is provided. Initially, a first database is designated
as a source database and a second database as a target database. The
modification flag of a first data record in the source database is examined. If the
10 first modification flag is set, the first data record is propagated to the target
database. On the other hand, if the first modification flag is not set, a first
modification count of the first data record is compared with a second
modification count of a corresponding data record in the target database. In this
embodiment, each of the modification counts is a value indicating how many
15 times the respective data record has been modified. If it is determined that the
first modification count has a higher value than the second modification count,
the corresponding data record is updated according to the first data record.
Importantly, the method of this embodiment can be carried out as described
without comparing the raw data of the data records. As such, this embodiment
20 of the present invention advantageously eliminates the record-by-record
comparison that is inherent in the prior art synchronization process and the
inconvenience associated therewith and provides an efficient data
synchronization technique that can be beneficially utilized in numerous
applications.

50




FIG. 1

100a




FIG. 2A

100b




FIG. 2B




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

100




Fig. 5

600





FIG. 6

000TF 5050TF 250

700

Data Record ID	Modification Flag	Modification Count	Raw Data
711			
722			
:			
:			
00747	Modified	1	Peter Smith, (800) 555-1234

Figure 7

**Figure 8**

Declaration

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent Trademark Office connected therewith.

Send Correspondence to:

Signatures

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor: Kelley Robert McCaw

Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor:

Page 2